

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



W

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/016,563		10/30/2001	Brian Lutz	D5235	6313
30409	7590	08/22/2003			
INTERNATIONAL ENGINE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY COM				PANY EXAMINER	
4201 WINI		DAD	CHANG, CHING		
P.O. BOX		CO			
WARREN	WARRENVILLE, IL 60555			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3748	1
			•	DATE MAILED: 08/22/2003	į.

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· ·		$M \sim 10^{-1}$					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/016,563	LUTZ, BRIAN					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Ching Chang	3748					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
	— · s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		rosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. <b>Disposition of Claims</b>							
4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8,9 and 11-13</u> is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4 and 16</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)							
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)</li> </ol>	5) Notice of Informal f	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office							

Application/Control Number: 10/016,563

Art Unit: 3748

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This Office action is in response to the amendment filed on July 2, 2003.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-2, 7, 14, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by Mallas et al. (US Patent No. 4,850,315).

Mallas discloses a valve actuation linkage mechanism (See Fig. 7) for use in an internal combustion engine (60) comprising: a pivot rod retainer (72, 74, 76); a valve bridge (64) having a pivot rod chamber; and a pivot rod (10") comprising a pivot head, wherein at least a part of the pivot rod head (18) is pivotable within a pivot rod cup (68) of a rocker arm (70), and comprising a pivot rod body, wherein at least a part (52) of the pivot rod body pivots in the pivot rod chamber (66); wherein the pivot rod and pivot rod chamber cooperate to form a contact line.

Application/Control Number: 10/016,563 Page 3

Art Unit: 3748

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 3, 5-6, 15, 17-18, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mallas (as applied to claims 1, 2/1, and 14 above) in view of Perez et al. (US Patent No. 6,273,042).

Mallas discloses the invention, however, fails to disclose how the pivot rod being retained in the pivot rod retainer.

The patent to Perez on the other hand, teaches that it is conventional in the art of rocker assembly art, to utilize a pivot rod (122) with a pivot rod neck (134) pivoting in a pivot rod retainer (170), and a pivot rod chamber (148, 150, 152) comprises a lubricant dimple (See Col. 11, line 19 through line 28); wherein the pivot rod retainer comprises a pivot rod orifice (178) having at least one pivot rod prong (172), and at least one securing orifice;

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have utilized the pivot rod retainer as taught by Perez in the Mallas device, since the use thereof would provide an improved valve train actuation mechanism.

Application/Control Number: 10/016,563 Page 4

Art Unit: 3748

## Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 8-9, and 11-13 are allowed.

6. Claims 4, and 16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, and 14 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Application/Control Number: 10/016,563

Art Unit: 3748

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ching Chang whose telephone number is (703)306-3478. The examiner can normally be reached on F-M, 7:30 AM -4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Denion can be reached on (703)308-2623. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)872-9302 for regular communications and (703)872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-1148.

Application/Control Number: 10/016,563

Art Unit: 3748

Patent Examiner

Ching Chang August 20, 2003 THOMAS DENION
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700